Attorney Docket No.:1037-050US01

60/443,939

## SHUMAKER & SIEFFERT, P.A.

## United States Patent Application

## COMBINED DECLARATION AND POWER OF ATTORNEY

As a below named inventor. I hereby declare that my residence, post office address and citizenship are as stated below next to my name, and that I believe I am an original, first and sole inventor (if only one name is listed below) or an original, first and joint inventor of the subject matter which is claimed and for which a patent is sought on the invention entitled: COLOR CORRECTION USING A DEVICE-DEPENDENT DISPLAY PROFILE

which I have reviewed ar	nd for which I so	plication) described and clicit a United States pater	at.		and as: amended on	(if any),
:any:amendment.referred		iderstand the contents of	me above-identified spec	mcsnon' inc	crucing the craims, as an	mended by
I acknowledge the duty to Federal Regulations, § I.		nation which is material to eto).	o the <u>patentability</u> of this	application	in accordance with Titl	e 37, Code of
	nd have also ide	nder Title 35, United Stat ntified below any foreign ch priority is claimed:				
a no such application b. such applications l						
F.C	DREIGN APPLI	CATION(S), IF ANY, C	LAIMING PRIORITY U	NDER 35 U	JSC § 1:19	
COUNTRY.		LICATION NUMBER	DATE OF FILING		DATE OF ISSUE	
	<del></del>		(day, month, year)		(day, month, year)	
ALLEY	DEICH ADDI I	CATIONICO ID CARLEY	ED DECORE THE DAY		DI ID I TTOYIO	
		CATION(S), IF ANY, FI	<del></del>	OKITY API		
COUNTRY.:	.APP	LICATION NUMBER	DATE OF FILING (day, month, year)		DATE OF ISSUE	1
	<del></del>	<del></del>	(day,.iikiliti, year)		(day, month, year)	
of the claims of this appli	ication is not dis	States and PCT internation closed in the prior United edge the duty to disclose.	States application in the	manner:pro	vided by the first parag	raph of Title
§ I.56(á) which occurred	between the fili	ng date of the prior applic	cation and the national or	PCT interna	ational filing date of thi	s application:
US APPLICATION	T NITIMIRIEDE	DATE OF FIT ING	(dove month-rease)		CT A TT IC	7

30 January 2003



Steven J. Shumaker Reg. No. 36,275 Daniel J. Hanson Reg. No. 46,757 Kent J. Sieffert Reg. No. 41,312 Kelly P. Fitzgerald Reg. No. 46,326 Jason D. Kelly Reg. No. 54,213 Kari H. Bartingale Reg. No. 35,183 Richard J. Gregson Reg. No. 41,804 Amelia Buharin Reg. No. 38,835

as my/our attorney(s) and/or patent agent(s) to prosecute this application and to transact all business in the Patent and Trademark Office connected herewith.

I hereby authorize them to act and rely on instructions from and communicate directly with the person/assignee/attorney/firm/ organization: who/which first sends/sent this case to them and by whom/which I hereby declare that I have consented after full disclosure to be represented unless/until I instruct Shumaker & Sieffert, P.A. to the contrary.

Please direct all correspondence in this case to:

SHUMAKER & SIEFFERT, P.A. 8425 Seasons Parkway, Suite 105 St. Paul, Minnesota 55125 Talenhama, 651,735,1100

Telephone: 651.735.1100 Facsimile: 651.735.1102

I hereby declare that all statements made herein of my own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true; and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under Section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code and that such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application or any patent issued thereon.

	Second Given Name J.  Country of Citizenship United States of America  State & Zip Code/Country MN 55105/USA	
State or Foreign Country. Minnesota		
City ·· St. Paul		
Date:	1/29/04	
	Minnesota City St. Paul	

## § 1.56 Duty to disclose information material to patentability.

- A patent by its very nature is affected with a public interest. The public interest is best served, and the most effective patent examination occurs when, at the time an application is being examined, the Office is aware of and evaluates the teachings of all information material to patentability. Each individual associated with the filing and prosecution of a patent application has a duty of candor and good faith in dealing with the Office, which includes a duty to disclose to the Office all information known to that individual to be material to patentability as defined in this section. The duty to disclose information exists with respect to each pending claim until the claim is canceled or withdrawn from consideration, or the application becomes abandoned. Information material to the patentability of a claim that is canceled or withdrawn from consideration need not be submitted if the information is not material to the patentability of any claim remaining under consideration in the application. There is no duty to submit information which is not material to the patentability of any existing claim. The duty to disclose all information known to be material to patentability of any claim issued in a patent was cited by the Office or submitted to the Office in the manner: prescribed by §§ 1.97(b)-(d) and 1.98. However, no patent will be granted on an application in connection with which fraud on the Office was practiced or attempted or the duty of disclosure was violated through bad faith or intentional misconduct. The Office encourages applicants to carefully examine:
  - (I) prior art cited in search reports of a foreign patent office in a counterpart application, and
- (2) the closest information over which individuals associated with the filing or prosecution of a patent application believe any pending claim patentably defines, to make sure that any material information contained therein is disclosed to the Office.
- (b) Under this section, information is material to patentability when it is not cumulative to information already of record or being made of record in the application, and
- (1) It establishes, by itself or in combination with other information, a prima facie case of unpatentability of a claim; or
  - (2) It refutes, or is inconsistent with, a position the applicant takes in:
    - (i) Opposing an argument of unpatentability relied on by the Office, or
    - (ii) Asserting an argument of patentability.

A prima facie case of unpatentability is established when the information compels a conclusion that a claim is unpatentable under the preponderance of evidence, burden-of-proof standard, giving each term in the claim its broadest reasonable construction consistent with the specification, and before any consideration is given to evidence which may be submitted in an attempt to establish a contrary conclusion of patentability.

- (c) Individuals associated with the filing or prosecution of a patent application within the meaning of this section are:
  - (I) Each inventor named in the application:
  - (2) Each attorney or agent who prepares or prosecutes the application; and
- (3) Every other person who is substantively involved in the preparation or prosecution of the application and who is associated with the inventor, with the assignee or with anyone to whom there is an obligation to assign the application:
- (d) Individuals other than the attorney, agent or inventor may comply with this section by disclosing information to the attorney, agent, or inventor.